National Judicial Academy
P-1000: Annual National Seminar on Working of the Family Courts in India
12th- 13th November, 2016

Programme Coordinator : Dr. Amit Mehrotra, Assistant Professor

No. of Participants : 35 No. of forms received : 32

	I. OVERALL					
	PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks	
a.	The objective of the Program was clear to me	93.75	6.25	-	-	
b.	The subject matter of the program is useful and relevant to my work	87.50	12.50	-	-	
c.	Overall, I got benefited from attending this program	81.25	18.75	-	-	
d.	I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	83.87	16.13	-	-	
e.	Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	81.25	18.75	-	12. Whatever time was available, it was nice.	
	II. KNOWLEDGE					
	PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks	
Th	The program provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:					
a.	Useful to my work	87.10	12.90	-	-	
b.	Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	67.74	32.26	-	-	
c.	Up to date	77.42	22.58	-	-	
d.	Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	73.33	26.67	-	-	
e.	Related to International Legal Norms	51.72	44.83	3.45	-	

III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM					
PROPOSITIONS	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	Remarks	
a. The structure and sequence of the program was logical	87.10	12.90	-	-	
b. The program was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.					
i. Group discussion cleared many doubts	48.15	51.85	-		
ii. Case studies were relevant	59.26	40.74	-	-	
iii. Interactive sessions were fruitful	73.33	26.67	-	-	
iv. Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	59.26	37.04	3.70	-	
(To be modified as per the sessions planned)					

IV. INDIVIDUAL SESSIONS						
	PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks	
a.	Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized	64.52	35.48	-	-	
b.	The session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons	79.17	20.83	-	-	
V. PROGRAM MATERIALS						
	PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks	
a.	The Program material	97.50	12.50			

	PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a.	The Program material is useful and relevant	87.50	12.50	-	-
b.	The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/current thinking/research/policy in the discussed area	83.87	16.13	-	-
c.	The content was organized and easy to follow	90.32	9.68	-	-

VI. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS

- a. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme
- 1. Dealing with the issue of custody of child -deciding best interest of the child.
- 2. How to deal with warring couple; matters to be looked into while dealing with custody of children; ADR method.
- 3. In ex-parte orders and judgments, the family court judge has to ensure that order be served upon the affected (other) party; 2. Proper intervention of family court judge initial proceedings; 3. Couple therapy
- 4. 1. Determination and best interests of the child vis-à-vis Law procedure; 2. Effective use of ADR mechanism in deciding Family dispute; 3. Role of couple therapy in resolving Family disputes.
- 5. Personal and judicial approach to resolve the disputes; How to heal the disputes rather than treating them.
- 6. Couple therapy was something new; Many new judgments were discussed.
- 7. Regarding Counselling; couple therapy and constitutional rights.
- 8. Hospitality fine; Arrangement fine; Knowledge of as a family judge very useful to me.
- 9. 1. Determination of best interest of the child; 2. Effective use of ADR method in resolving family dispute.
- 10. 1. Mediation; 2. Couple Therapy; 3. Judicious approach by family court in maintenance and divorce proceedings.
- 11. Had an opportunity to know the rich experience of Hon'ble Justice K. Kannan and Hon'ble Justice Prabha Sridevan; Came to know about couple therapy.
- 12. Interaction with other judges; Idea about case problem and future challenges.
- 13. 1. Interaction with judges from other parts of India; 2. Sensitization; 3. Came to know about the challenges in future.
- 14. 1. How to approach the couples and understanding their issues?; 2. To value the human; 3. Settlement of family issues does not mean always reunion but at times separation too.
- 15. Idealistic approach to problems, empathy; New approach to the cases.
- 16. 1. Technique of mediation; 2. Psychological assistance in matrimonial disputes; 3. Institution of family courts in foreign countries.
- 17. 1. Role of couple therapy discussion; 2. Effective use of ADR; 3. Constitutional rights in family court proceedings.

- 18. 1. Better implementation of the Family Courts Act.; 2. How better to resolve the matrimonial disputes upholding the constitutional rights; 3. Care and custody with regard to the child.
- 19. 1. Better use of ADR methods; 2. Make sincere attempt to resolve disputes & heal the wounds; 3. Convey to fellow Judicial Officer who could not attend the programmes.
- 20. Participant did not comment.
- 21. Participant did not comment.
- 22. Participant did not comment.
- 23. 1. Tricks in mediation; 2. Psychotherapy (Couple therapy); 3. Effective counselling.
- 24. 1. Changes of Ideas within us; 2. Latest position of Laws; 3. What is going on in the country in family matters?
- 25. 1. New ideas & views which are developing in the field of family related matrimonial disputes; 2. A new vision of going through the disputes; 3. Attitudinal changes of the post judge manning the Family Court.
- 26. 1. Technologies to deal with family matters; 2. Sensitization regarding handling the family disputes.
- 27. 1. Couple therapy techniques; 2. Understanding psychology of humans.
- 28. Participant did not comment.
- 29. Listening the parties in dispute, the factors to be contended at the time of deciding the custody of child.
- 30. Change of idea with us.
- 31. Participant did not comment.
- 32.1. Suggestions from experienced persons; 2. Mediation; 3. Psychology.
- b. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why
- 1. Participant did not comment.
- 2. Effective use of ADR method; since in the light of alarming rate of filing of cases without settlement one cannot manage the court properly.
- 3. All the parts of the programme were very useful in day to day working of family court.
- 4. Enhancing use of ADR mechanism in resolving Family disputes.
- 5. Speech by psychologist was the most beneficial part of the training.
- 6. All the programmes were useful.
- 7. Role of Couple Therapy. It is very useful to resolve the family disputes.

- 8. Conduct of judge; Behavior of judge with all parties; do justice for all family matters.
- 9. Participant did not comment
- 10. Mediation—because it saves valuable time of courts, saves money, parties, nation and trauma of more relative of both parties.
- 11. All sessions are useful to some extent.
- 12. Hon'ble Justice K. Kannan.
- 13. The lectures & overall talk of Hon'ble Justice K. Kannan was most useful.
- 14. Session by Hon'ble Justice Prabha Sridevan and Ms. Padmaja Ramudu.
- 15. All.
- 16. Every part of the programme was useful.
- 17. Participant did not comment.
- 18. Participant did not comment.
- 19. 1. Effective use of ADR methods; 2. I am using the above methods invariably in all cases.
- 20. All the part of the programme most useful because it deals with our day to day work in Family Court.
- 21. Participant did not comment.
- 22. Have already tick.
- 23. Effective use of ADR method in resolving family disputes.
- 24. Satisfactory.
- 25. Every part was very useful. I cannot single out one.
- 26. The lecture given by Ms. Padmaja Ramudu about the couple therapy will help the family court judge to deal with the matter more effectively.
- 27. Psychological discussion.
- 28. Participant did not comment.
- 29. Divorce and custody of child.
- 30. Cleared doubt's in seminar.
- 31. Participant did not comment.
- 32. Psychological Analysis.
- c. Which part of the Programme did you find least useful and why
- 1. Participant did not comment
- 2. Participant did not comment
- 3. None
- 4. Survey of Family Courts; Functioning in other jurisdictions.
- 5. Other jurisdictions.
- 6. Comparative study in other countries.
- 7. Nothing
- 8. All part of sessions be useful for conducting the family matter/ judge. Knowledge of all post, justice are good for me as family judge.

- 9. Participant did not comment
- 10. Participant did not comment
- 11. None.
- 15. Session 4: Survey of Family Courts Functioning in other Jurisdictions: Trends and Progress Made.
- 16. Every part of the programme was useful.
- 17. Participant did not comment.
- 18. Participant did not comment.
- 19. Nil
- 20. None
- 21. Participant did not comment.
- 22. N.A.
- 23. None
- 24. Inter nation law position of family & matrimonial laws.
- 25. None
- 26. Nil
- 27. Mediation methodology as we are referral judges.
- 28. Participant did not comment.
- 29. ADR
- 30. Participant did not comment.
- 31. Participant did not comment.
- 32. Study of family courts of other countries.
- d. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective
- 1. Holding these types of seminars on the field of Law periodically.
- 2. Participant did not comment.
- 3. Participant did not comment.
- 4. No more suggestions.
- 5. Participant did not comment.
- 6. 1. NJA should create a website where problems faced by the judges should be discussed; 2. Include "religious therapy" like psychological therapy in family disputes.
- 7. To allow the participants with spouse; Group discussion; More time for interaction session.
- 8. No suggestions; good programme. Invite me repeatedly for our knowledge and giving real justice.
- 9. Participant did not comment.
- 10. Keep fixed time to ask actual problems to PO and solve it. Discussion platform on NJA platform.
- 11. Good.
- 12. Participant did not comment.

- 13. Kindly extend duration of programme for F.C. Judges. Just 1½ day is not sufficient for such a sensitive part of Justice Delivery System.
- 14. Participant did not comment.
- 15. Participant did not comment.
- 16. Maintain the standard. All is well.
- 17. Participant did not comment.
- 18. Participant did not comment.
- 19. Nil
- 20. This programme is short one kindly spare more time for this type of programme.
- 21. Participant did not comment.
- 22. Good enough
- 23. Nil
- 24. All discussion should be recorded and anybody wants to see, he can access easily in you tube or otherwise.
- 25. "Sorry Sir" I find nothing to point out; Everything was outstanding.
- 26. Regarding the execution of the order passed by family court. There should be a fund kept by the Govt. to help the person which is not able to provide maintenance to his wife & children so as enable the women & children to life with dignity & to prevail them from destitution.
- 27. Make a discussion forum on NJA portal having experts and judges on same platform.
- 28. Programme schedule to the Communicate to the participant well in advance to make arrangement for Air reservation.
- 29. No suggestion.
- 30. Always serve better.
- 31. Participant did not comment.
- 32. Participant did not comment.